

A DOCPHOENIX

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/805,455	GAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey Fredman	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Febi</u>	ruany 26, 2003		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations of accordance with the practice under the same of the sa	ince except for formal m		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 4,8,9,13 and 24-29 is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 24 is/are with	drawn from consideration	1.	
5) Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,8,9 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•		
•	allillet.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	main aibu umadan 25 H C C	2.440(-) (-) (5	•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	1).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• • •		
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/805,455

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The objection to the disclosure is withdrawn in view of the corrections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 4, 8, 9, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guru et al (Genome Research (1997) 7:725-735).

Guru teaches Bac clone 137c7, deposited in Genbank as Accession No. AC000134. This Bac comprises a genomic sequence which encodes a protein comprising the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, including the amino acids AIPLPA which are absent in the related protein at nucleotides 78,990 to nucleotide 78,972 (see printout of AC000134). Further, this sequence is in a BAC vector which are grown in bacterial host cells (see page 733, subheading "genomic clone sequencing"). Since this sequence is the genomic sequence of the protein, it is inherently operatively linked to the native promoter sequence for the protein. The Bac clones were then subjected to shotgun sequencing, in which the sequences were placed into plasmid vectors in bacterial host cells (see page 733, subheading "genomic clone sequencing").

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 25 and 26 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,455

Art Unit: 1637

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 25 and 26 are drawn to isolated sequences with closed claims. The genomic sequence does not comprise or teach the cDNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 in claim 25. While the Bac comprises the genomic sequence claimed in claim 26 of SEQ ID NO: 3, the Bac is significantly larger and there is no suggestion that the particular genomic sequence of claim 26 should be separated from the larger Bac sequence.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 26, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Guru reference does not meet the limitation of claim 4 because the claim uses the closed "consisting of" language in the preamble regarding the nucleotide sequences. This argument is incorrect because it fails to properly interpret the claim. The claim permits any nucleotide sequence which encodes SEQ ID NO: 2. The use of "consisting of" language does not close this claim because the sequence consists of ANY sequence which can encode SEQ ID NO: 2. The sequence disclosed by Guru encodes SEQ ID NO: 2 and therefore falls within the scope of the claim, when properly interpreted. Thus, the rejection is proper and is maintained.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/805,455

Art Unit: 1637

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

March 19, 2003